



PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10498-00031

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Ap	plication of:)	
F	amela C. Yelick et al.)	Examiner: Melanie D. Bissett
Serial No	o.: 09/997,734)	Art Unit: 1711
Filed:	November 29, 2001)	Conf. No.: 4087
	METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR CULTURING A BIOLOGICAL TOOTH)	

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Official Draftsman

RESPONSE TO SECOND NOTICE REGARDING DRAWINGS

Dear Sir:

In response to the second Notice Regarding Drawings mailed February 24, 2005, Applicants enclose herewith the attached:

A copy of Draftsman Son Lam's Letter to Withdraw Drawing Requirement dated March 3, 2005 e-mailed same date to Applicants;

A copy of Draftsman Son Lam's annotated copy of the Notice waiving the requirements for new formal drawings e-mailed March 3, 2005 to Applicants;

A copy of Mr. Lam's e-mail of March 2, 2005; and

Return postcard.

EV515644805US

Applicants understand, from Draftsman Son Lam, that the requirements indicated in the

Form PTO 948 issued by William Sale on February 24, 2005 have been waived and that no

further drawings need be submitted in response to the Notice Regarding Drawings. Accordingly,

Applicants respectfully request that this application proceed to publication of the patent.

Further, as an inordinate period of time will have passed since payment of the Issue Fee

on April 15, 2004 and the issuance of the application, Applicants have been advised that the

patent term will be extended accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 9, 2005

John P. Iwanicki, Reg. No. 34,628 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

28 State Street, 28th Floor

Boston, MA 02109

Telephone: (617) 720-9600





Date: Mar. 03, 2005

Letter to Withdraw Drawing Requirement

To:

Laurie Hall

Banner & Witcoff, LTD. 28 State Street, 28th Floor Boston, MA 02109

Fr.:

Son Lam, USPTO Draftsman

(703) 305-0333 (Ext. 133)

RECEIVED

MAR 0 5 2005

BANNER & WITCOFF

Subject: Drawing Requirement Withdrawal, Application No. 09997,734

Method and Compositions for Culturing a Biological Tooth

Dear Ms. Laurie Hall,

After consulting with the Examiner Melanie Bissett and my Supervisor Joshua Chase, I waive all the requirements indicated in the form PTO948, issued by William Sale on 02/24/05, because:

1/ All the drawings are clear enough for the understanding of the invention, confirmed by the Examiner.

2/ All the illustrations are in the best form for reproduction and publication.

Thank you for your patience,

Regards,

PTO Draftsman: Son Lam

MAR 0 9 2005

Form PTO-948 (Rev. 06/03)
Application No.

03/03/05



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<u>"09/997734</u>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

	A approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1. B objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR drawings are required.	.84 or 1.152. 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. Corrected
	1. DRAWINGS: 37 CPK T.84(6): Accoptable categories of drawings: Black ink or	8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS, 37 CFR 1.84() Words do not appear on a borizontal, left-to-rigi
	Color (4 sets required). Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is	tashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for
3	granted. Fig(s) Pencil and non-black ink not permitted. Fig(s)	9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)
1	2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 17 CFR 1.84(b)	Scale not large enough to show mechanism
I	One (1) full-tone set is required. Fig(s)	without crowding when drawing is reduced in
1	Photographs may not be mounted. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	size to two-thirds in reproduction.
١.	Photographs must meet paper size requirements of	Figis
١ ۷	37 CFR 1.84(f). Fig(s)	IO. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, &
`]	Poor quality (haif-tone). Fig(s)	LEDTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(1)
- 1	3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and
1	Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.	well defined, clear, darable, and black (poor lin
	Fig(s)	quality). Fig(s) 5, 6, 77-12
-	Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations,	11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
1	folds, copy machine marks not accepted.	Swiid black areas pole. Fig(s)
	Fig(s)	Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s)
1	A. SIZE OF PAPICK. 37 CPR 1.84(f): Acceptable	12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE
-	Sizes	CHARACTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(p)
	21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4) or	Numbers and reference characters not plain and
-	21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2x 11 inches)	legible Fig(s)
1	All drawing sheets not the same size.	Figure legends are poor. Fig(s)
	Sheet(s) Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s)	Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)
1	5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:	Fig(s)
1	Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm	English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
	Margins not acceptable. Fig(s)	Fig(s)
1	Top (T) Left (L)	Numbers, letters and reference characters must b
1	Right (R) Bottom (B)	at least 32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR
	6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)	1.84(p)(3). Fig(s)
ıl	REMINDER: Specification may require revision to	13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
3	correspond to drawing changes, e.g., if Fig. 1 is	Lead lines missing. Fig(s)
7	changed to Fig. 1A, Fig 1B and Fig. 1C, etc., the	14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS.
┈	specification, at the Brief Description of the Drawings,	37 CFR 1.84(t)
ار	must likewise be changed.	Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabi
3l	Views not labeled separately or properly.	numbers beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)
1	Fig(s) 18 - 20	15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
VI.	7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3)	Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabi
3	Sectional designation should be noted with	numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s)
1	Arabic or Roman numbers. Fig(s)	16. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152
١١	•	Surface shading shown not appropriate.
	•	Fig(s)
1	· ·	Solid black surface shading is not permitted exce when used to represent the color black as well as
:	·	color contrast. Fig(s)
4	COMMENTS	Cotor contrast. Fig(s)
3	COMMENTS: 17 5 17 NOOD TO	how was all on I C / claret.
Ĭ	- 10% 20 10 1000 16	I have red mounted as something
Ñ	- BK) This Tule due IREd	to C + 6 19 9 0
1	Alman almost and	1 11/0/0 / /

Laurie Hall

From: Lam, Son [Son lam@USPTQ 60V

MAR 0 9 2005

Sent: Wednesday, March 92,2505 12:09 PM

To: Laurie Hall

Subject: RE: 10498-00031

COPY

Dear Ms Laurie Hall,

I had talked to the Exmanier and my supervisor. I will waive the requirement of the form PTO948 (issued by William Sale.)

I am still working on retrieving the case, so I could make a note on the copy of the form PTO948, and update the application status. This step will take some time to complete. I have already sent in the request and await for the response. This step requires minimum work on your side.

If this process take too long... beyond the due date, I will send you an email with the copy of the form PTO948, with my signature to waive the requirements (before the due date). Then you could send in your response with my email and a copy of the returned PTO948 to overcome the objection. I will proceed with this second choice if there would be no response by the end of this week.

Thank you for your patience,

Regards,

PTO Draftsman: Son Lam (703) 305-0333 (ext. 133)

----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Hall [mailto:LHall@bannerwitcoff.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2005 3:31 PM

To: Lam, Son

Cc: John Iwanicki; Judith Stone-Hulslander

Subject: 10498-00031 Importance: High

Re: Methods and Compositions for Culturing a Biological Tooth

USSN 09/997,734, filed 11/29/01

Dear Mr. Lam,

Per your request, I am attaching the recent Notice Regarding Drawings mailed Feb. 24, 2005 that we received in the above-referenced application after filing the required set of replacement drawings on December 22, 2004. Examiner Melanie Bissett thought perhaps the draftsman who prepared this Notice was looking at the scanned image of the figures, rather than the originals I prepared and sent to the PTO which were produced on photo paper and were of very high resolution. All but Figure 15 are photos of biological material, including radiography and slides of tissue samples under magnification and were previously accepted by the Examiner in the Notice of Allowability.

As we have a very short time-frame in which to respond (by **March 24, 2005**), I'd appreciate your letting us know as soon as possible if you will be able to overturn the objections to the drawings. Thanks in advance for your assistance.

Laurie Hall

Page 2 of 2

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

28 State Street, 28th Floor
Boston, MA 02109
USA

<Notice Regarding Drawings mailed 2-24-05.pdf>>

Phone: (617) 720-9600 Fax: (617) 720-9601

This message contains information from the law firm of Banner & Witcoff, LTD. which may be privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return E-mail.
